UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,211	07/11/2001	Yoshiaki Hiratsuka	2500.65689	9972
7590 06/14/2007		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			SEFER, AHMED N	
Suite 2500 300 South Wac	ker Drive	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			2826	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
į.	09/903,211	HIRATSUKA ET AL. Art Unit		
Office Action Summary	Examiner			
	A. Sefer	2826		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprise to the community of the community o	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 March 2007.			
· <u> </u>	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	• •			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		, (), (),		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.			
2 Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	opplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	oriority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bu				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I (fig. 3 and claims 2-6 and 9-14) and sub-species B in the reply filed on 3/19/2007 is acknowledged and claims 8, 7 and 15-21 have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 2, 9 and 10 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Nagai ("Nagai") USPN 6,359,390 and Yoshinaga ("Yoshinaga") USPN 6,492,587. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagai.

Nagai discloses 1-9 a display panel module comprising a display panel 10 defining a screen on a front surface; an electrically conductive frame 20 enclosing the display panel; and an electrically conductive member 16 located behind the display panel and electrically connected to the electrically conductive frame, wherein a loop line is established through the electrically

conductive member and the electrically conductive frame, said loop line having a length different from a wavelength of a driving signal supplied to the display panel or set smaller than a half of the wavelength (col. 5, lines 50-61) of the driving signal (as recited in claim 3).

Re claim 4, Nagai discloses (col. 5, lines 50-61) electric joints 22 being established between the electrically conductive member and the electrically conductive frame at positions spaced by intervals smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal.

Re claim 5, Nagai discloses (col. 5, lines 50-61) the loop line being set smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momose et al. ("Momose") JP 11-249111 (of record) in view of Yoshinaga.

Momose discloses 1-3 a display panel module comprising a display panel 10 defining a screen on a front surface; an electrically conductive frame 31/33 enclosing the display panel; and an electrically conductive member 32 located behind the display panel and electrically connected to the electrically conductive frame, but does not specifically disclose a loop line.

Art Unit: 2826

Yoshinaga discloses a loop line having a length different from a wavelength of a driving signal supplied to the display panel or set smaller than a half of the wavelength (paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5) of the driving signal (as recited in claim 3).

Therefore, in view of Yoshinaga's teachings, one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify Momose by incorporating a loop line. The motivation would have been to improve light transmittance as taught by Yoshinaga (col. 4, lines 32-37).

Re claim 5, Yoshinaga discloses (paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5) the loop line being set smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai. 7.

Nagai discloses the device structure as recited in the claim including electric joints being established between the electrically conductive member and the electrically conductive frame at positions spaced by intervals smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal, but does not specifically disclose an interval smaller than one eighth of a wavelength. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have met the recited limitation since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPO 233.

8. Claims 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momose in view of Yoshinaga.

Momose discloses 1-3 a display panel module comprising a display panel 10 defining a screen on a front surface; a panel-shaped module component (20-23) superposed on rear surface of the display panel; an electrically conductive frame 31/33 enclosing the display panel and

Application/Control Number: 09/903,211

Art Unit: 2826

panel-shaped module component; and an electrically conductive member 32 located behind the display panel and electrically connected to the electrically conductive frame, but does not specifically disclose a loop line.

Yoshinaga discloses a loop line having a length different from a wavelength of a driving signal supplied to the display panel or set smaller than a half of the wavelength (paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5) of the driving signal (as recited in claim 11).

Therefore, in view of Yoshinaga's teachings, one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify Momose by incorporating a loop line. The motivation would have been to improve light transmittance as taught by Yoshinaga (col. 4, lines 32-37).

Re claim 9, Momose discloses said display panel 10, said module component (20-23) and said electrically conductive frame 31/33 form a display panel module.

Re claim 13, Yoshinaga discloses (paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5) the loop line being set smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal.

9. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

Momose in view of Yoshinaga as applied to claims 10, 11 and 13 above, and further in view of Nagai.

The combined references disclose the device structure as recited in the claim, but doe not disclose electric joints spaced by intervals.

Nagai discloses (col. 5, lines 50-61) electric joints 22 being established between electrically conductive member 16 and electrically conductive frame 20 at positions spaced by intervals smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,211 Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

Therefore, in view of Nagai's teachings, one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the device by incorporating electric joints spaced by intervals smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal. The motivation would have been to prevent electromagnetic interference as taught by Nagai.

Re claim 14, Nagai discloses electric joints 22 being established between the electrically conductive member and the electrically conductive frame at positions spaced by intervals smaller than a quarter wavelength of the driving signal, but does not specifically disclose a loop line smaller than one eighth of a wavelength. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have met the recited limitation since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272-1236.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,211 Page 7

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANS June 5, 2007

> A. Sefer Patent Examiner Art Unit 2826